KO1057/

# 510(k) Summary Special 510(k): Device Modification

# ADC QS/IPD Workstation For the ADC Computed Radiography System

**DEVICE NAME** 

ADC QS/IPD Workstation

**CLASSIFICATION NAME:** 

Phosphor Plate Radiographic System - 21CFR 892.1630

The original ADC system has been categorized as 90IXK and is regulated as Class II. The modification involves

only the PACS (90LLZ) component of the system.

TRADE/PROPRIETARY NAME:

Agfa Diagnostic Center or ADC Compact or ADC Solo

**SUBMITTED BY** 

**Agfa Corporation** 

864-421-1600

10 South Academy Street Greenville, SC 29601

CONTACT PERSON

Jeff Jedlicka

864-421-1815

#### PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:

The device complies with the relevant international and national Safety Standards. It has been manufactured in compliance with ISO9000 and the Quality System Regulation.

## **DESCRIPTION OF MODIFICATION:**

The ADC QS/IPD is an optional upgrade for the ADC System review stations and will be an eventual replacement product for the existing peripheral devices that are currently part of the ADC System. With the appropriate hardware, the QS/IPD can support a small archive and be used for diagnostic interpretation. The QS/IPD will utilize upgraded hardware and a software revision. The QS/IPD is based on a PC/NT operating system and will encompass three levels of functionality that may be selected by the end user based on need. The levels are Basic QC, QC Viewer and QS/IPD Viewer.

Basic QC level functions allow a minimum set of image manipulations. The QC Viewer will allow the user to have advanced image processing control. The QC workstation has been previously cleared as a component of the existing ADC system. The QS/IPD Viewer will allow all of the above functions and allow the user to operate the workstation as a CR specific PACS station by adding an archive module and high resolution, high brightness monitors.

The ADC QS/IPD is not a mandatory upgrade for existing customers with ADC systems. The current components will continue to be supported. The QS/IPD can, however, be deployed in an existing ADC site without mandatory upgrades of existing components.

## **EQUIVALENCE INFORMATION:**

The ADC Compact was cleared for market on 9 March 1998 (K974597). In September 1998 Agfa notified the Center of a new model, the ADC Solo. At that time Agfa confirmed that the minor mechanical change to implement the new model did not require submission and FDA review (David Segerson for Lillian Yin letter, Sept 22, 1998). References to the ADC Compact throughout this submission, and the applicability of the upgrade apply to both models. They are electrically and functionally identical.

The device is being modified at this time with the upgrade of the software module and an upgrade to hardware accessories to facilitate the use of the device for the diagnostic review of images. The modification does not alter the fundamental scientific technology of the device but does provide necessary hardware capabilities to allow the displayed image to be read without first being transferred to a PACS or printer.

The scope of the modification being submitted includes those changes identified below:

Dimensional specifications (monitor size)

Hardware display resolution

Addition of a hardware image archive

Software / firmware platform

The hardware and software components are used for processing and distributing computed radiographic images on a network. Processing capabilities remain unchanged while the display quality has been increased to allow image review for diagnosis. A hardware accessory can be purchased to allow a small archive for the ADC System.

#### SAFETY INFORMATION:

This device is identical to the predicate and has identical safety issues and concerns. A summary of the software design description, hazard analysis, and technical and safety information can be found in the attached submission. The results of the hazard analysis, combined with the appropriate measures taken during design and development indicate the device is of minor level of concern, as per the August 29, 1991 issue of the "Reviewers Guidance for Computer Controlled Medical Devices Undergoing 510(k) Review".

Only trained professionals utilize the device and evaluate its output. This allows sufficient review to afford identification and intervention in the event of a malfunction. The device impacts the quality or status of the original acquired image data only in the validated manner described.

Agfa Corporation has demonstrated that the information and data contained in this submission are sufficient for a determination of substantial equivalence.



Food and Drug Administration 9200 Corporate Boulevard Rockville MD 20850

# MAR 2 8 2001

AGFA Corporation C/O T. Whit Athey, Ph.D. Senior Consultant C.L. Mcintosh & Associates 12300 Twinbrook PKWY, STE 625 ROCKVILLE MD 20852 Re: K010571

ADC QS/IPD Workstation Dated: February 23, 2001 Received: February 26, 2001

Regulatory Class: II 21 CFR §892.2050/Procode: 90 LLZ

### Dear Dr. Athey:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) notification of intent to market the device referenced above and we have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (Premarket Approval), it may be subject to such additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 895. A substantially equivalent determination assumes compliance with the Current Good Manufacturing Practice requirements, as set forth in the Quality System Regulation (QS) for Medical Devices: General regulation (21 CFR Part 820) and that, through periodic QS inspections, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) will verify such assumptions. Failure to comply with the GMP regulation may result in regulatory action. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the Federal Register. Please note: this response to your premarket notification submission does not affect any obligation you might have under sections 531 through 542 of the Act for devices under the Electronic Product Radiation Control provisions, or other Federal laws or regulations.

This letter will allow you to begin marketing your device as described in your 510(k) premarket notification. The FDA finding of substantial equivalence of your device to a legally marketed predicate device results in a classification for your device and thus, permits your device to proceed to the market.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Part 801 and additionally 809.10 for in vitro diagnostic devices), please contact the Office of Compliance at (301) 594-4639. Additionally, for questions on the promotion and advertising of your device, please contact the Office of Compliance at (301) 594-4639. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR 807.97). Other general information on your responsibilities under the Act may be obtained from the Division of Small Manufacturers Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 443-6597 or at its internet address "http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/dsma/dsmamain.html".

Sincerely yours,

Daniel G. Schultz, M.D.

Acting Director, Division of Reproductive, Abdominal, and Radiological Devices

Office of Device Evaluation

Center for Devices and Radiological Health

# **INDICATIONS FOR USE**

510(k) Number (if known): KC/C57/

		•		
	Device Name :	ADC QS/IPD Workstation For ADC Compact and ADC Solo	o Computed Radio	graphy System
	Indications for Use:			
	To provide diagnostic qualit	ty images to aid the physician in dia	gnosis.	
		,		
				•
		•		
	(PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE. CONTINUE ON ANOTHER PAGE IF			
	NEEDED.)			
	Concurrence of CDRH, Office of Device Evaluation (ODE)			
			•	
	rescription Use V Over the Counter Use			
	Prescription Use (Per 21 CFR 801.109)		Over the Cot	inter Use
		•	•	
	Gard a Seamon	·		
<u>a</u>	Division Sign-Off)	I PAT		
a)	Pivision of Reproductive, Abdomina nd Radiological Devices	II, E364 E9		
5	10(k) Number KOLO 5 7 1	<del></del>	0000	02
			( )	(7 <b>~</b> /